arXiv:1304.4930v1 [math.PR] 17 Apr 2013

EXPECTED SIGNATURE OF GAUSSIAN PROCESSES WITH STRICTLY REGULAR KERNELS

HORATIO BOEDIHARDJO, ANASTASIA PAPAVASILIOU, AND ZHONGMIN QIAN

Abstract. We compute the expected signature of fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H > \frac{1}{2}$. Our method generalises to a class of Gaussian processes which is a subclass of the Gaussian processes with regular kernels, in the sense of [AMN01].

1. Introduction

The expected signature of a stochastic process was first studied by T. Fawcett in [Faw03], who calculated the expected signature of Brownian motion. This is used in [LV04] to calculate the cubature measure which approximates the Wiener measure. Since then, interests in the subject have grown. In [LH11], Ni and Lyons expressed the expected signature of Brownian motion in a disc up to the first exit time in terms of the solution of a PDE. In [Wer12], the first three gradings of the expected signature of the Chordal SLE measure were explicitly calculated. In this article we calculate the expected signature of Gaussian processes with strictly regular kernel (defined in next section). These are Gaussian processes with regular kernels (see [AMN01]) which do not have Brownian components.

2. Main result

We will first recall some notations.

Let $T > 0$ be fixed throughout this note.

Let $\triangle' := \left\{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq s < t \leq T\right\}$.

Let $K(\cdot,\cdot) : \triangle' \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that:

(K1) For each r, $K(\cdot, r)$ is absolutely continuous on $(r,T]$.

(K2) $K(r^+, r) = 0 \; \forall r \in [0,T]$

(K3) Let $|K|((r,T],r)$ denote the total variation of $K(\cdot,r)$ on $(r,T]$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty |K|((r,T],r)^2 \, \mathrm{d}r < \infty$$

If we remove the condition (K2) and weaken the absolute continuity condition in (K1) to bounded total variation, then we recover the notion of regular kernel in [AMN01]. These extra conditions mean that our Gaussian processes have to be strictly smoother than Brownian motion.

Let $\partial_1 K$ denote the derivative of K with respect to its first coordinate.

Let W_t be a Gaussian process of the form

$$W_t := \int_0^t K(t,r) \, \mathrm{d}B_r \quad t \in [0,T] \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathrm{d}B_r$ denote the integration with respect to the Itô's sense.

We shall call a Gaussian process W of the form (2.1), where K satisfies (K1), (K2) and (K3), a Gaussian process with a strictly regular kernel K.

A d-dimensional Gaussian process with a strictly regular kernel is a process whose coordinate components are independent and identically distributed copies of a Gaussian process with a strictly regular kernel.

The expected signature of such process will be expressed in terms of pairings, which we shall recall below:

Definition 1. A set π is a pairing of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 2n\}$ if there exists two injective functions $a : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ and $b : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ such that $a(i) < b(i)$ for all i and

$$\pi = \{(a(i), b(i)) : i = 1, .., n\}$$

Let E_{2n} be a subset of $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}^{2n}$ such that $(i_1, \ldots, i_{2n}) \in E_{2n}$ if and only if for all $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, the set

$$\{j : i_j = k\}$$

has an even number of elements.

Let Π_{2n} denote the set of all possible pairings of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$. Given $(i_1, \ldots, i_{2n}) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}^{2n}$, define $\Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}$ to be a subset of Π_{2n} whose elements π satisfy

$$(l, j) \in \pi \implies i_l = i_j$$

We can now state our main result:

Theorem 2. *Let W be a d-dimensional Gaussian process with a strictly regular kernel K. If $k = 2n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(i_1, \ldots, i_{2n}) \in E_{2n}$, then the projection to the basis $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} ... \otimes e_{i_k}$ of the expected signature of W up to time T is*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}} \int_{\triangle_{2n}(T)} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} \left[\int_0^{u_j \wedge u_l} [\partial_1 K(u_j, r)] [\partial_1 K(u_l, r)] \, \mathrm{d}r \right] \mathrm{d}u_1..\mathrm{d}u_n$$

where $\triangle_{2n}(T)$ denotes the simplex $\left\{(u_1, \ldots, u_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : 0 \le u_1 < \ldots < u_{2n} \le T\right\}$. The projection to the basis $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \ldots \otimes e_{i_k}$ is zero otherwise.

Applying this to fractional Brownian motions with Hurst parameter $H > \frac{1}{2}$, we have the following:

Proposition 3. *Let $H > \frac{1}{2}$. If $k = 2n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(i_1, \ldots, i_{2n}) \in E_{2n}$, then the projection to the basis $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \ldots \otimes e_{i_k}$ of the expected signature of fractional Brownian Motion with Hurst parameter H up to time T is*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}} \left(H(2H-1)T^H\right)^n \int_{\triangle_{2n}(1)} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} (u_j - u_l)^{2H-2} \, du_1...du_{2n}$$

where $\triangle_{2n}(1)$ denotes the simplex $\left\{(u_1, \ldots, u_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : 0 \le u_1 < \ldots < u_{2n} \le 1\right\}$. The projection to the basis $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \ldots \otimes e_{i_k}$ is zero otherwise.

The key idea of the calculation, inspired by [CQ00], is to first calculate the expected signature of the piecewise linear approximation of these Gaussian process.

In section 2, we shall recall the properties of Gaussian rough paths.

In section 3, we shall prove the main result Theorem 2.

We would like to thank Xi Geng for useful discussions.

3. Signature of Gaussian process

3.1. **Gaussan process.**

Recall that $\triangle' := \left\{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \le s < t \le T\right\}$.

Let $K(\cdot,\cdot) : \triangle' \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the conditions (K1), (K2) and (K3) in Section 1.1, and $W : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Gaussian process satisfying (2.1).

Then W_t is a Gaussian process with the covariance function

$$R(t,s) := \int_0^{t\wedge s} K(t,r)\, K(s,r)\, \mathrm{d}r \tag{3.1}$$

The integral in (3.1) exists by condition (K3).

By (K1) and (K2), we have $\partial_1 K(\cdot, r) \in L^1(r,T]$ and

$$K(t,u) = \int_r^t \partial_1 K(u,r)\, \mathrm{d}u$$

Thus (3.1) becomes

$$R(t,s) = \int_0^{t\wedge s} \int_r^s \int_r^t \partial_1 K(u,r)\, \partial_1 K(v,r)\, \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}r$$

By Tonelli's theorem, we have the following equality:

$$R(t,s) = \int_0^s \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{u\wedge v} \left[\partial_1 K(u,r)\right]\left[\partial_1 K(v,r)\right] \mathrm{d}r\right] \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \tag{3.2}$$

We shall denote the function $\int_0^{u\wedge v} \left[\partial_1 K(u,r)\right]\left[\partial_1 K(v,r)\right] \mathrm{d}r$ by $f(u,v)$.

Note in particular that $f(\cdot,\cdot) \in L^1([0,T]\times[0,T])$ by Tonelli's theorem and (K3).

To summarise, we have

$$R(t,s) = \int_0^t \int_0^s f(u,v)\, \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v$$

where $f \in L^1([0,T]\times[0,T])$.

This means that for $u \le v$ and $s \le t$ in $[0,T]$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(W_t - W_s)(W_u - W_v)\right] = \int_v^u \int_s^t f(u,v)\, \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v.$$

This expression will be key to our computation.

By the definition of f, we also have $f(u,v) = f(v,u)$.

We summarise our calculations in the following lemma:

Lemma 4. *Let $W : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Gaussian process with a strictly regular kernel K. Then there exists an integrable function $f : [0,T]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(u,v) = f(v,u)$, and*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(W_t - W_s)(W_u - W_v)\right] = \int_v^u \int_s^t f(u,v)\, \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v$$

3.2. **Geometric rough paths.**

Let $T^n\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ and $T\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ denote the graded algebras on $\mathbb{R}^d$ defined by

$$T^n\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) := \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^{\otimes k}$$

and

$$T\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) := \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^{\otimes k}$$

where $\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{R}$.

We shall define three projection maps as follow:

1. π_n will denote the projection map from $T\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) \to \left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^{\otimes n}$.
2. If $I = (i_1, .., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then π_I denote the projection map onto the basis $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n}$.
3. $\pi^{(n)}$ denote the projection of an element of $T\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ onto $T^n\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$.

We equip $\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^{\otimes k}$ with a metric by identifying $\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^{\otimes k}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{d^k}$, and we equip $T^n\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with the metric

$$|\mathbf{w}|_{T^n(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \max_{1\le k\le n} |\pi_k(\mathbf{w})|$$

Let $p > 1$ and let $\mathcal{V}^p\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ denote the set of all continuous functions $f : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with finite p-variation, i.e.

$$\|f\|_p^p := \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_k |f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k)|^p < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions $\mathcal{P} := (t_0, t_1, .., t_{n-1}, t_n)$, with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n = T$.

We will now define the signature of functions in $\mathcal{V}^1$.

Definition 5. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}^1\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$, and let $\triangle_n(s,t) := \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n) : s < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t\}$. The Signature of f is a function $S(f) : \{(s,t) : 0 \le s \le t\} \to T\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$, defined by

$$S(f)_{s,t} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\triangle_n(s,t)} \mathrm{d}f(t_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{d}f(t_n)$$

where the sum $+$ is the direct sum operation in $T\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$, and the integrals are taken in the Lebesgue-Stieltjes sense.

Note in particular that $\pi_n\left(S(f)_{s,t}\right) = \int_{\triangle_n(s,t)} \mathrm{d}f(t_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{d}f(t_n)$ and will be called the n-th grading of the signature of f.

The signature of a path satisfies the Chen's identity:

$$S(f)_{s,u} \otimes S(f)_{u,t} = S(f)_{0,t} \;\; \forall\, 0 \le s \le u \le t \le T$$

For paths which do not have finite variation, such as sample paths of Brownian motion, the signatures have to be defined using geometric rough paths. They are constructed using the following metric. Let $\triangle := \{(s,t) : 0 \le s \le t \le T\}$.

Definition 6. Let w^1, w^2 be functions from $\triangle$ to $T^n\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$. We define

$$\rho^{(n)}_{p-var}\left(w^1, w^2\right) = \max_{1\le k\le n} \sup_D \left(\sum_l \left|\pi_k\left(w^1_{t_{l-1},t_l}\right) - \pi_k\left(w^2_{t_{l-1},t_l}\right)\right|^{\frac{p}{k}}\right)^{\frac{k}{p}}$$

and

$$d_p\left(w^1, w^2\right) = \rho^{(\lfloor p\rfloor)}_{p-var}\left(w^1, w^2\right)$$

where $\sup_D$ runs over all partitions $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < t_2 < .. < t_n = T$, and $\lfloor p\rfloor$ is the biggest integer that is less than p. $d_p(\cdot,\cdot)$ is known as the *p-variation metric.*

Let $C^{p-var}\left([0,T], T^n\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)\right)$ denote the set of all continuous function w from $\triangle$ to $T^n\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ such that $d_p(w, 0) < \infty$.

Let $G\Omega_p\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ be the closure of the set $\left\{\pi^{(\lfloor p\rfloor)}\left(S\left(w\right)\right) : w \in \mathcal{V}^1\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)\right\}$ under the p-variation metric in $C^{p-var}\left(\left[0,T\right], T^{\lfloor p\rfloor}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)\right)$. $G\Omega_p\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ is called the space of *$p-$geometric rough paths.*

This means that a continuous function $w : [0,T] \to T^{\lfloor p\rfloor}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ lies in $G\Omega_p\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ if we can approximate w in the p -variation metric by signatures of paths which have finite variations. One candidate of such approximation is the piecewise linear approximation, defined as below:

Definition 7. Let $w : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a continuous function.

Let $D = (0 = t_0 < t_1 < .. < t_n = T)$ be a partition of $[0,T]$. We define the piecewise linear interpolation of w with respect to the partition $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$w^D\left(t\right) := w\left(t_i\right) + \frac{w\left(t_{i+1}\right) - w\left(t_i\right)}{t_{i+1} - t_i}\left(t - t_i\right) \ t \in \left[t_i, t_{i+1}\right].$$

One final fact we need about geometric rough paths is that:

Theorem 8. *(*[Lyn1]*) Let $w \in C^{p-var}\left(\left[0,T\right], T^{\lfloor p\rfloor}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)\right)$ and that w is multiplicative, in the sense that*

$$w_{s,u} \otimes w_{u,t} = w_{s,t}$$

for all $0 \le s \le u \le t$. Then for all $N \ge \lfloor p\rfloor$, there exists a unique multiplicative function $S_N\left(w\right) \in C^{p-var}\left(\left[0,T\right], T^n\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)\right)$ such that

$$\pi^{(\lfloor p\rfloor)}\left(S_N\left(w\right)\right) = w$$

3.3. **Signature of Gaussian processes.** Recall that $\triangle$ denote $\{(s,t) : 0 \le s \le t \le T\}$. Let $f : \triangle \times \triangle \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We shall follow [FV10] and use the notation $f\begin{pmatrix} s,t \\ u,v \end{pmatrix}$ to denote, for $s \le t$ and $v \le u$,

$$f\begin{pmatrix} s,t \\ u,v \end{pmatrix} := f\left(s,u\right) + f\left(t,v\right) - f\left(s,v\right) - f\left(t,u\right)$$

and a function $f : [0,T]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to have finite ρ-variation if $|f|_{p-var;[0,T]^2} < \infty$, where

$$|f|_{p-var;[s,t]\times[u,v]} = \sup_{\substack{(t_i) \in \mathcal{D}([s,t]) \\ (t_i') \in \mathcal{D}([u,v])}} \left(\sum_{i,j}\left|f\begin{pmatrix} t_i, t_{i+1} \\ t_j', t_{j+1}' \end{pmatrix}\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{3.4}$$

and $\mathcal{D}\left([a,b]\right)$ denotes a partition of the interval $[a,b]$.

For a function $\omega : \triangle \times \triangle \to [0,\infty)$, we shall denote, for $[s,t] \times [v,u] \in [0,T]^2$,

$$\omega\left([s,t] \times [v,u]\right) := \omega\left(s,t,v,u\right)$$

Definition 9. A 2D *control* is a continuous function $\omega : \triangle \times \triangle \to [0,\infty)$ such that for all rectangles R_1,R_2,R in $[0,T] \times [0,T]$, such that if $R_1 \cup R_2 \subset R$, $R_1 \cap R_2 = \emptyset$, then

$$\omega\left(R_1\right) + \omega\left(R_2\right) \le \omega\left(R\right)$$

and that for all rectangles R with zero Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$\omega\left(R\right) = 0$$

Definition 10. Let $\omega : \triangle \times \triangle \to [0,\infty)$ be a 2D control and let $f : \triangle \times \triangle \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. We say that ω *controls the* p*-variation of* f if for all $[s,t] \times [u,v] \subset [0,T]^2$,

$$\left| f \begin{pmatrix} s,t \\ u,v \end{pmatrix} \right|^p \le \omega\left([s,t] \times [u,v]\right)$$

Lemma 11. *(*[FV10]*, Lemma 5.56) Let* $f : \triangle \times \triangle \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a continuous function. Then* f *has finite* ρ*-variation if and only if there exists a 2D control* ω *such that* ω *controls the* ρ*-variation of* f*.*

We may now recall the existence and some properties of the signatures of Gaussian processes with strictly regular kernels.

Let X be a d-dimensional Gaussian process, then its covariance function is defined as $R_X(s,t) := \mathbb{E}\left[X_s \otimes X_t\right]$.

The following theorem gives the existence of the signatures of some Gaussian processes and the approximation result that the limit of $\mathbb{E}\left[S\left(X^D\right)_{0,T}\right]$ as $\|D\| \to 0$ is $\mathbb{E}\left[S(X)_{0,T}\right]$:

Theorem 12. *(*[FR]*) Let* $X = \left(X^1, .., X^d\right) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ *be a centred, continuous Gaussian process on a probability space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$*, with* X^i, X^j *being independent if* $i \neq j$*. Assume that the covariance function of* X*, denoted by* R_X*, has finite* ρ*-variation for some* $\rho \in [1,2)$ *and that there exists a finite constant* K *such that* $|R_X|_{p-var,[0,1]^2} \le K$ *(see (3.4)). Then there exists a process* $\mathbf{X}$ *with sample paths almost surely in* $C^{p-var}\left([0,1], G^{\lfloor p \rfloor}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)\right)$ *for all* $p \in (2\rho, 4)$*, such that for any* $\gamma > \rho$ *,* $\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\rho} > 1$ *and any* $q > 2\gamma$ *and* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exists a constant* $C = C(q, \rho, \gamma, K, N)$ *such that for all* $r \ge 1$*,*

$$\left|\rho^{(N)}_{q-var}\left(S_N\left(X^{(k)}\right), S_N(\mathbf{X})\right)\right|_{L^r} \le C r^{\frac{N}{2}} \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} \left|X^{(k)}_t - X_t\right|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\rho}{\gamma}}$$

for any pieceswise linear interpolation $X^{(k)}$ *of* X*, where the width of the partition is smaller than* $\frac{1}{k}$*.*

Definition 13. Let X be a process satisfying the conditions in Theorem 12, we shall denote the process $\mathbf{X}$ in Theorem 12 by $S(X)$ and the expected signature of X on $[0,T]$ is defined to be $\mathbb{E}\left[S(X)_{0,T}\right]$.

Proposition 14. *Let* X *be a* d*-dimensional Gaussian process with a strictly regular kernel. Then the covariance function of* X *has finite* 1*-variations.*

Moreover, let D^m *be the dyadic partition* $D^m = \left(0, \frac{T}{2^m}, \frac{2T}{2^m}, .., \frac{(2^m-1)T}{2^m}, T\right)$*. Then for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*,*

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[S_N\left(X^{D^m}\right)\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[S_N(X)\right]\right| \to 0$$

as $m \to \infty$*, where* $|\cdot|$ *is the norm induced by identifying* $\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^{\otimes n}$ *with* $\mathbb{R}^{d^n}$*.*

Proof. By Lemma 4 and its notation, the 1-variations of the covariance function of X, R_X, is contolled by

$$\omega\left([s,t] \times [u,v]\right) := \int_s^t \int_v^u \left|f(x,y)\right| \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$$

Thus by Lemma 11, R_X has finite 1-variations. Moreover, the total 1-variation of R_X on $[0,T]^2$ is bounded above by $\int_0^T \int_0^T |f(x,y)|\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$. Therefore, Theorem 12 applies.

Observe that we have, in the pathwise sense, the following inequality for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,$q > 2$:

$$\left| S_N\left(X^{D^m}\right)_{0,T} - S_N\left(\mathbf{X}\right)_{0,T} \right| \leq \left| \rho^{(N)}_{q-var}\left(S_N\left(X^{D^m}\right), S_N\left(\mathbf{X}\right)\right)\right|$$

Thus by Theorem 12 which also applies when we replace the interval $[0,1]$ with $[0,T]$, the only thing to prove is

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \left| X_t^{D^m} - X_t \right|_{L^2} \to 0$$

Let $t_k^m := \frac{k}{2^m}T$. Then for $t_k^m \leq t \leq t_{k+1}^m$, we have

$$\left| X_t^{D^m} - X_t \right| \leq \left| X_{t_{k+1}^m} - X_t \right| \left(\frac{t-t_k^m}{t_{k+1}^m - t_k^m}\right) + \left| X_{t_k^m} - X_t \right| \left(\frac{t_{k+1}^m - t}{t_{k+1}^m - t_k^m}\right)$$

Thus by Leamm 4 and its notation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| X_t^{D^m} - X_t \right|_{L^2} &\leq \left| X_{t_{k+1}^m} - X_t \right|_{L^2} \left(\frac{t-t_k^m}{t_{k+1}^m - t_k^m}\right) + \left| X_{t_k^m} - X_t \right|_{L^2} \left(\frac{t_{k+1}^m - t}{t_{k+1}^m - t_k^m}\right) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{t-t_k^m}{t_{k+1}^m - t_k^m}\right) \left(\int_{\left[t,t_{k+1}^m\right]^2} |f(u,v)|\,\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{t_{k+1}^m - t}{t_{k+1}^m - t_k^m}\right) \left(\int_{\left[t_k^m,t\right]^2} |f(u,v)|\,\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 2\left(\int_{\left[t_k^m,t_{k+1}^m\right]^2} |f(u,v)|\,\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \left| X_t^{D^m} - X_t \right|_{L^2} \right)^2 &\leq 4 \max_{1\leq k\leq 2^m} \int_{\left[t_k^m,t_{k+1}^m\right]^2} |f(u,v)|\,\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \\
&\leq 4 \int_{\cup_{k=1}^{2^m}\left[t_k^m,t_{k+1}^m\right]^2} |f(u,v)|\,\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v
\end{aligned}$$

The set $\cup_{k=1}^{2^m}\left[t_k^m,t_{k+1}^m\right]^2$ converge to a set with Lebesgue measure zero as $m \to \infty$, and f is integrable on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Thus

$$\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \left| X_t^{D^m} - X_t \right|_{L^2} \right)^2 \to 0$$

as $m \to \infty$. □

4. Computation

In this section we shall calculate the expected signatures of Gaussian processes with regular kernels.

We will first carry out some preliminary calculation. Recall that f is the function defined in Lemma 4.

Let t_k^m denote the dyadic point $\frac{k}{2^m}T$.

Define $c_{i,j}$, with $1 \le i \le 2^m, 1 \le j \le 2^m$, by

$$c_{i,j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u,v)\, 1_{\left[t^m_{i-1},t^m_i\right]\times\left[t^m_{j-1},t^m_j\right]} \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v$$

Given a continuous path $W : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the dyadic approximation of W by the function

$$W(m)_t = \begin{array}{cc} W_0 & t = 0 \\ \sum_i \left(W^i_{t^m_k} + \frac{2^m}{T}\left(W^i_{t^m_k} - W^i_{t^m_{k-1}} \right)\left(t - t^m_{k-1}\right)\right) e_i & t \in \left(t^m_{k-1}, t^m_k\right] \end{array}$$

Let W be a d-dimensional Gaussian process with a strictly regular kernel, and let $W(m)$ be the random process obtained from the dyadic linear interpolation of its sample paths.

Let $(k_1, \ldots, k_{2N})$ be a finite sequence of natural numbers, satisfying $1 \le k_1 \le k_2 \le .. \le k_{2N} \le 2^m$. Then the symbol $|\#k = 1|$ will denote the number of elements in the set $\{j : k_j = 1\}$, and in general, $|\#k = i|$ be the number of elements in the set $\{j : k_j = i\}$.

The following lemma summarises our preliminary calculation:

Lemma 15. *If $k = 2n$ for some n and $i_1, .., i_{2n} \in E_{2n}$, then the projection onto the basis $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$ of $\mathbb{E}\left(S(W(m))_{0,T}\right)$ is*

$$\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}} \sum_{1\le k_1\le k_2\le\ldots\le k_{2n}\le 2^m} \frac{1}{|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!} \cdot \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} c_{k_l,k_j}$$

otherwise the projection onto $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$ of $\mathbb{E}\left(S(W(m))_{0,T}\right)$ is zero.

Remark 16. In fact we can prove by induction that

$$\frac{1}{|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!} = \int_{\left[t^m_{k_1-1},t^m_{k_1}\right]\times\ldots\times\left[t^m_{k_{2N}-1},t^m_{k_{2N}}\right]\cap\triangle_{2N}} 1\mathrm{d}u_1\ldots\mathrm{d}u_{2N}$$

but we shall not need it here.

Proof. Define $X_k : \left[t^m_{k-1}, t^m_k\right] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$X_k(t) = \sum_i \left(W^i_{t^m_k} + \frac{2^m}{T}\left(W^i_{t^m_k} - W^i_{t^m_{k-1}} \right)\left(t - t^m_{k-1}\right)\right) e_i$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_k) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t^m_{k-1}<s_1<..<s_n<t^m_k} dX_{s_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes dX_{s_n} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t^m_{k-1}<s_1<..<s_n<t^m_k} \left[\sum_i \frac{2^m}{T}\left(W^i_{t^m_k} - W^i_{t^m_{k-1}} \right) e_i \right]^{\otimes n} ds_1 \ldots ds_n \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_i \frac{2^m}{T}\left(W^i_{t^m_k} - W^i_{t^m_{k-1}} \right) e_i \right]^{\otimes n} \int_{t^m_{k-1}<s_1<..<s_n<t^m_k} ds_1 \ldots ds_n \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_i \frac{2^m}{T}\left(W^i_{t^m_k} - W^i_{t^m_{k-1}} \right) e_i \right]^{\otimes n} \frac{1}{n!}\left(t^m_k - t^m_{k-1}\right)^n \\ &= e^{\sum_i \left(W^i_{t^m_k} - W^i_{t^m_{k-1}} \right) e_i} \end{aligned}$$

By Chen's identity,

$$S(W(m)_t) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sum_i \left(W^i_{t^m_1} - W^i_{t^m_0} \right) e_i} \otimes \ldots \otimes e^{\sum_i \left(W^i_{t^m_{2^m}} - W^i_{t^m_{2^m-1}} \right) e_i} \right] \tag{4.1}$$

We have

$$e^{\sum_i \left(W^i_k - W^i_{k-1}\right)e_i} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left(\sum_i \left(W^i_{t^m_k} - W^i_{t^m_{k-1}}\right)e_i\right)^j$$

The coefficient of $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_n}$ in the expansion of

$$\otimes_{k=1}^{2^m} e^{\sum_i \left(W^i_k - W^i_{k-1}\right)e_i} = \otimes_{k=1}^{2^m}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left(\sum_i \left(W^i_{t^m_k} - W^i_{t^m_{k-1}}\right)e_i\right)^j\right]$$

is

$$\sum_{1\le k_1 \le k_2 \le \ldots \le k_n \le 2^m} \frac{\Pi_{j=1}^n \left(W^{i_j}_{t^m_{k_j}} - W^{i_j}_{t^m_{k_j-1}}\right)}{|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!}$$

If n were odd, then as the expected value of the product of an odd number of Gaussian random variables is zero, we have the coefficient of $e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_n}$ in $\mathbb{E}\left(S\left(W\left(m\right)\right)_{0,t}\right)$ being zero.

If $(i_1, .., i_{2n}) \notin E_{2n}$, then since the process $(W_t : t \ge 0)$ has independent components and that the expected value of the product of an odd number of Gaussian random variables is zero, thus

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\Pi_{j=1}^n \left(W^{i_j}_{t^m_{k_j}} - W^{i_j}_{t^m_{k_j-1}}\right)\right) = 0$$

which implies

$$\pi^{i_1,..,i_{2n}}\mathbb{E}\left[S\left(W\left(m\right)_{0,1}\right)\right] = 0$$

when $(i_1, .., i_{2n}) \notin E_{2n}$.

We now calculate the projection to $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{2n}}$ of $\mathbb{E}\left(S\left(W\left(m\right)\right)_{0,t}\right)$, which by Wick's formula equals

(4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(S\left(W\left(m\right)\right)_{0,t}\right)\right] \\ = \;& \textstyle\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}} \sum_{1\le k_1\le\ldots\le k_{2n}\le 2^m} \frac{1}{|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(W^{i_j}_{t^m_{k_j}} - W^{i_j}_{t^m_{k_j-1}}\right)\left(W^{i_j}_{t^m_{k_l}} - W^{i_j}_{t^m_{k_l-1}}\right)\right] \\ = \;& \textstyle\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}} \sum_{1\le k_1\le\ldots\le k_{2n}\le 2^m} \frac{1}{|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!} \cdot \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} c_{k_l,k_j} \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}}$ is the sum over all possible pairings (l,j), $j > l$ from the set $\Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}$. □

The following lemma is crucial to our calculation of the sum in Lemma 15.

Lemma 17. For $i \ge 1$ and any pairing π of $\{1, .., 2n\}$,

$$\sum_{1\le k_1\le..\le k_i = k_{i+1}\le k_{i+2}\ldots\le k_{2n}\le 2^m} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi}\left|c_{k_l,k_j}\right| \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

Proof. If $(i, i+1) \in \pi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \textstyle\sum_{1\le k_1\le\ldots\le k_i=k_{i+1}\le k_{i+2}\ldots\le k_{2n}\le 2^m} \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi}\left|c_{k_l,k_j}\right| \\ \le \;& \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{2^m}\left|c_{k,k}\right| \times \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi\setminus(i,i+1)} \sum_{k_j=1}^{2^m}\sum_{k_l=1}^{k_j}\left|c_{k_l,k_j}\right| \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Note that for $(j,l) \neq (i,i+1)$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & \sum_{k_j=1}^{2^m} \sum_{k_l=1}^{k_j} \left| c_{k_l k_j} \right| \\ (4.4) \qquad & = & \sum_{k_j=1}^{2^m} \sum_{k_l=1}^{k_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| f\left(u,v\right) \right| 1_{\left[t_{k_{l-1}}^m, t_{k_l}^m\right] \times \left[t_{k_j-1}^m, t_{k_j}^m\right]} \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \\ & \leq & \int_{[0,T]\times[0,T]} \left| f\left(u,v\right) \right| \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \end{array}$$

and that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} \left| c_{k,k} \right| & \leq & \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| f\left(u,v\right) \right| 1_{\left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right] \times \left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right]} \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \\ & = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| f\left(u,v\right) \right| 1_{\cup_{k=1}^{2^m} \left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right] \times \left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right]} \left(u,v\right) \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \end{array}$$

Since $f\left(\cdot,\cdot\right) \in L^1\left(\left[0,T\right]^2\right)$ and the set $\cup_{k=1}^{2^m} \left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right] \times \left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right]$ converges to a null set in $\mathbb{R}^2$ as $m \to \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^m} \left| c_{k,k} \right| \to 0$$

as $m \to \infty$.

Thus by (4.3) we have

$$\begin{array}{rc} & \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq \ldots \leq k_i = k_{i+1} \leq k_{i+2} \cdots \leq k_{2n} \leq 2^m} \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} \left| c_{k_l k_j} \right| \\ \leq & \left[\int_{[0,T]\times[0,T]} \left| f\left(u,v\right) \right| \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \right]^{n-1} \int_{\cup_{k=1}^{2^m} \left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right] \times \left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right]} \left| f\left(u,v\right) \right| \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \\ & \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ m \to \infty \end{array}$$

Now if $(i,i+1) \notin \pi$, then let $\pi\left(i\right), \pi\left(i+1\right)$ denote the unique integers satisfying $\left(i, \pi\left(i\right)\right), \left(i+1, \pi\left(i+1\right)\right) \in \pi$.

Assme now that $(i,i+1) \notin \pi$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq \ldots \leq k_i = k_{i+1} \leq k_{i+2} \ldots \leq k_{2n} \leq 2^m} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} \left| c_{k_l k_j} \right| \\ (4.5) \qquad & \leq & \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi\setminus\{(i,\pi(i)),(i+1,\pi(i+1))\}} \sum_{k_j=1}^{2^m} \sum_{k_l=1}^{k_j} \left| c_{k_l k_j} \right| \\ & & \times \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} \sum_{k_{\pi(i)}=1}^{2^m} \sum_{k_{\pi(i+1)}=1}^{2^m} \left| c_{k,k_{\pi(i)}} \right| \left| c_{k,k_{\pi(i+1)}} \right| \end{array}$$

Let $F\left(v\right) := \int_0^T \left| f\left(u,v\right) \right| \mathrm{d}u$, then as $f\left(\cdot,\cdot\right) \in L^1\left[0,T\right]^2$, we have $F\left(\cdot\right) \in L^1\left[0,T\right]$. Thus

$$\sum_{k_{\pi(i)}=1}^{2^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| f\left(u,v\right) \right| 1_{\left[t_{k_{\pi(i)}}^m, t_{k_{\pi(i)}-1}^m\right] \times \left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right]} \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v = \int_0^T F\left(v\right) 1_{\left[t_k^m, t_{k-1}^m\right]} \left(v\right) \mathrm{d}v$$

Note that since $F \geq 0$, and that $F\left(\cdot\right) \in L^1$, the integral

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T F\left(u\right) F\left(v\right) \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v = \int_0^T F\left(u\right) \mathrm{d}u \int_0^T F\left(v\right) \mathrm{d}v$$

exist and thus $\left(u,v\right) \to F\left(u\right) F\left(v\right)$ is integrable on $\left[0,T\right]^2$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{2^m}\sum_{k_{\pi(i)}=1}^{2^m}\sum_{k_{\pi(i+1)}=1}^{2^m}\left|c_{k,k_{\pi(i)}}\right|\left|c_{k,\pi(i+1)}\right| \\
\leq\ & \sum_{k=1}^{2^m}\left\{\left[\sum_{k_{\pi(i)}=1}^{2^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|f(u,v)\right|1_{\left[t^m_{k_{\pi(i)}},t^m_{k_{\pi(i)}-1}\right]\times\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\right]\right. \\
&\left.\times\left[\sum_{k_{\pi(i+1)}=1}^{2^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|f(u,v)\right|1_{\left[t^m_{k_{\pi(i+1)}},t^m_{k_{\pi(i+1)}-1}\right]\times\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\right]\right\} \\
\leq\ & \sum_{k=1}^{2^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F(v)F(u)1_{\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]\times\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]}(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v
\end{aligned}$$

As $(u,v)\to F(u)F(v)$ is integrable on $L[0,T]^2$, and the set $\cup_{k=1}^{2^m}\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]\times\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]$ converges to a null set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F(v)F(u)1_{\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]\times\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]}(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\to 0$$

as $m\to\infty$.

This together with (4.4) and (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\leq k_1\leq\ldots\leq k_i=k_{i+1}\leq k_{i+2}\ldots\leq k_{2n}\leq 2^m}\Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi}\left|c_{k_lk_j}\right| \\
\leq\ & \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F(v)F(u)1_{\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]\times\left[t^m_k,t^m_{k-1}\right]}(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\right) \\
&\times\left(\int_{[0,T]\times[0,T]}\left|f(u,v)\right|\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\right)^{n-2} \\
\to\ & 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $m\to\infty$.

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We now prove our main result Theorem 2.

Proof. (of Theorem 2) By Proposition 14, the expected signature is given by the limit as $m\to\infty$ of the sum in Lemma 15.

However, by Lemma 17, for any $i\geq 1$, as $m\to\infty$,

$$\sum_{1\leq k_1\leq\ldots\leq k_i=k_{i+1}\leq k_{i+2}\ldots\leq k_{2n}\leq 2^m}\frac{1}{|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!}\cdot\Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi}\left|c_{k_l,k_j}\right|\to 0$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{m\to\infty}\textstyle\sum_{1\leq k_1\leq\ldots\leq k_{2n}\leq 2^m}\frac{1}{|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!}\cdot\Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi}c_{k_lk_j} \\
=\ &\lim_{m\to\infty}\textstyle\sum_{1<k_1<\ldots<k_{2n}\leq 2^m}\frac{1}{|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!}\Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi}c_{k_lk_j} \\
=\ &\lim_{m\to\infty}\textstyle\sum_{1<k_1<\ldots<k_{2n}\leq 2^m}\Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi}c_{k_lk_j}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses the fact that for $k_1<k_2<\ldots<k_{2N}$, we have $|\#k=1|!\ldots|\#k=2^m|!=1$.

Note that

$$\begin{array}{rc} & \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} c_{k_l k_j} \\ = & \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u,v)\, 1_{\left[t^m_{k_l}, t^m_{k_l - 1}\right] \times \left[t^m_{k_j}, t^m_{k_j - 1}\right]} \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} f(u_j, u_l)\, 1_{\left[t^m_{k_1 - 1}, t^m_{k_1}\right] \times \ldots \times \left[t^m_{k_{2n} - 1}, t^m_{k_{2n}}\right]} (u_1, \ldots, u_{2n})\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \end{array}$$

Thus

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{1<k_1<k_2<\ldots<k_{2n}\le 2^m} \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} c_{k_l k_j} \\ = & \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{1<k_1<\ldots<k_{2n}\le 2^m} \int_{\left[t^m_{k_1 - 1}, t^m_{k_1}\right] \times \ldots \times \left[t^m_{k_{2n} - 1}, t^m_{k_{2n}}\right]} \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} f(u_j, u_l)\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \end{array}$$

Let $\triangle_{2n}(0,T)$ denote the simplex $\left\{(u_1, .., u_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : 0 \le u_1 < \ldots < u_{2n} \le T\right\}$. Then,

$$\begin{array}{rc} & \int_{\left[t^m_{k_1 - 1}, t^m_{k_1}\right] \times \ldots \times \left[t^m_{k_{2n} - 1}, t^m_{k_{2n}}\right] \cap \triangle_{2N}(0,T)} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} \left|f(u_j, u_l)\right| du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \\ \le & \int_{\left[t^m_{k_1 - 1}, t^m_{k_1}\right] \times \ldots \times \left[t^m_{k_{2n} - 1}, t^m_{k_{2n}}\right]} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} \left|f(u_j, u_l)\right| du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \\ \le & \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} \left|c_{k_l k_j}\right| \end{array}$$

However, by Lemma 17,

$$\sum_{1\le k_1 \le .. \le k_i = k_{i+1} \le k_{i+2} \ldots \le k_{2n} \le 2^m} \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} \left|c_{k_l k_j}\right| \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

Thus for any $1 \le i$, as $m \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{1\le k_1 \le .. \le k_i = k_{i+1} \le k_{i+2} \ldots \le k_{2n} \le 2^m} \int_{\left[t^m_{k_1 - 1}, t^m_{k_1}\right] \times \ldots \times \left[t^m_{k_{2n} - 1}, t^m_{k_{2n}}\right] \cap \triangle_{2N}(0,T)} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} \left|f(u_j, u_l)\right| du_1 \ldots du_{2n}$$

converges to zero.

Hence,

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{1<k_1<\ldots<k_{2n}\le 2^m} \int_{\left[t^m_{k_1}, t^m_{k_1 - 1}\right] \times \ldots \times \left[t^m_{k_{2n}}, t^m_{k_{2n} - 1}\right]} \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} f(u_j, u_l)\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \\ = & \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{1\le k_1\le\ldots\le k_{2n}\le 2^m} \int_{\left[t^m_{k_1}, t^m_{k_1 - 1}\right] \times \ldots \times \left[t^m_{k_{2n}}, t^m_{k_{2n} - 1}\right] \cap \triangle_{2N}(0,T)} \Pi_{(j,l)\in\pi} f(u_j, u_l)\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \\ = & \int_{\triangle_{2n}} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} f(u_j, u_l)\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \end{array}$$

Thus by Lemma 15 and Lemma 14,

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \pi_{i_1, .., i_{2n}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left(S(W)\right)_{0,T}\right) \\ = & \lim_{m\to\infty} \pi_{i_1, .., i_{2n}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left(S(W(m))\right)_{0,T}\right) \\ = & \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{i_1, \ldots, i_{2n}}} \int_{\triangle_{2N}} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} f(u_j, u_l)\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \end{array}$$

□

We now prove Proposition 3.

Proof. (of Proposition 3)For $H > \frac{1}{2}$, let $K_H(t,s)$ be defined, for $t > s$, as

$$K_H(t,s) = c_H s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_s^t |u-s|^{H-\frac{3}{2}} u^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}u$$

where $c_H = \left[\frac{H(2H-1)}{\beta\left(2-2H, H-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and β denotes the beta function.

Then by [NVV99], the fractional Brownian motion $B_{\cdot}^H$ can be represented as

$$B_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t,s)\, \mathrm{d}B_s$$

where $\mathrm{d}B_s$ denotes integration in the sense of Itô.

Note that $K(s^+, s) = 0$ for all s, $K(\cdot, s)$ is differentiable and has a positive, integrable derivative, and thus K_H satisfies (K2) and (K3).

Now note

$$\begin{aligned} K_H(t,s) &\leq c_H s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} t^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \int_s^t |u-s|^{H-\frac{3}{2}} \mathrm{d}u \\ &\leq \frac{c_H}{H-\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} t^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_H}{H-\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} t^{2H-1} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$K_H(t,s)^2 \leq \left[\frac{c_H}{H-\frac{1}{2}}\right]^2 s^{1-2H} t^{4H-2}$$

and the right hand side is integrable in s.

Therefore, Theorem 2 applies and Proposition 3 follows from a change of variable.

□

5. Right continuity at $H = \frac{1}{2}$

We shall prove that the formula for the expected signature we give here reconcile with the expected signature of Brownian motion when we take limit as $H \to \frac{1}{2}$. By self-similarity for fractional Brownian motions, it is sufficient to establish this continuity in the case $T = 1$. First we recall the expected signature of Brownian motion up to time 1:

Proposition 18. [Faw03] *The n^{th} level term of the expected signature of Brownian Motion up to time* 1 *is*

$$\frac{1}{2^n n!} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes e_i\right)^n$$

Equivalently, the projection to any basis of the form $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} \otimes e_{i_n}$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2^n n!}$.

Note that the term $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \cdots \otimes e_{i_{2n}}$ appears in the expansion of $\left(\sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes e_i\right)^n$ if and only if the pairing $\pi_n := \{(1,2), (3,4), \ldots, (2n-1, 2n)\}$ is in $\Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}$. Thus to prove the continuity of the expected signature as $H \to \frac{1}{2}$, it suffices to prove

(1) Let π_n denote the pairing $\{(1,2),(3,4),\ldots,(2n-1,2n)\}$, then the integral

$$I_n := (H(2H-1))^n \int_{\triangle_{2n}} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi_n} (u_j-u_l)^{2H-2}\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n}$$

converges to $\frac{1}{2^n n!}$ as $H \to \frac{1}{2}$.

(2) The integral

$$(H(2H-1))^n \int_{\triangle_{2n}} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} (u_j-u_l)^{2H-2}\, du_1 \ldots. du_{2n}$$

converges to 0 as $H \to \frac{1}{2}$ for any $\pi \in E_{2n} \backslash \pi_n$.

We will calculate first the integral I_n, which we may write explicitly as

$$(H(2H-1))^n \int_{\triangle_{2n}} \Pi_{j=1}^{n} (u_{2j}-u_{2j-1})^{2H-2}\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n}$$

By Fubini's theorem, and using the notation $u_{2n+1}=1$, we can "integrate u_{2n}s first" to obtain:

$$\begin{array}{rc} & (H(2H-1))^n \int_{\triangle_{2n}} \Pi_{j=1}^{n} (u_{2j}-u_{2j-1})^{2H-2}\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \\ = & (H(2H-1))^n \int_0^1 \int_0^{u_{2n}} \ldots \int_0^{u_2} \Pi_{j=1}^{n} (u_{2j}-u_{2j-1})^{2H-2}\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \\ = & (H(2H-1))^n \int_0^1 \int_0^{u_{2n-3}} \ldots \int_0^{u_3} \Pi_{j=1}^{n} \left[\int_{u_{2j-1}}^{u_{2j+1}} (u_{2j}-u_{2j-1})^{2H-2}\, du_{2j}\right] du_1 du_3 \ldots du_{2n-1} \\ = & H^n \int_0^1 \int_0^{u_{2n-3}} \ldots \int_0^{u_3} \Pi_{j=1}^{n} (u_{2j+1}-u_{2j-1})^{2H-1}\, du_1 du_3 du_5 \ldots du_{2n-1} \end{array}$$

Taking limit as $H \to \frac{1}{2}$ and using the bounded convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{array}{rc} & \lim_{H\to\frac{1}{2}} H^n \int_0^1 \int_0^{u_{2n-3}} \ldots \int_0^{u_3} \Pi_{j=1}^{n} (u_{2j+1}-u_{2j-1})^{2H-1}\, du_1 du_3 du_5 \ldots du_{2n-1} \\ = & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \int_0^1 \int_0^{u_{2n-3}} \ldots \int_0^{u_3} du_1 du_3 du_5 \ldots du_{2n-1} \\ = & \frac{1}{2^n n!} \end{array}$$

Now we consider other pairings π in $\Pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n}}$, that is when there exists a k,i such that $k-i>1$ but$(i,k)\in\pi$. We have

$$\begin{array}{rc} & (H(2H-1))^n \int_{\triangle_{2n}} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi} (u_j-u_l)^{2H-2}\, du_1 \ldots du_{2n} \\ \leq & (H(2H-1))^{n-1} \int_{\triangle_{2n-2}} \Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi\backslash(i,k)} \left[(u_j-u_l)^{2H-2}\, du_l du_j\right] \\ & \times (H(2H-1)) \int (u_k-u_i)^{2H-2}\, 1_{[u_{i-1},u_{i+1}]\times[u_{k-1},u_{k+1}]}(u_i,u_k)\, du_i du_k \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

Note that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & H(2H-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_k-u_i)^{2H-2}\, 1_{[u_{i-1},u_{i+1}]\times[u_{k-1},u_{k+1}]}(u_i,u_k)\, du_i du_k \\ \leq & H(2H-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_k-u_i)^{2H-2}\, 1_{[0,u_{k-1}]\times[u_{k-1},1]}(u_i,u_k)\, du_i du_k \\ = & \frac{1}{2}\left[1-u_{k-1}^{2H}-(1-u_{k-1})^{2H}\right] \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2H-1}\right) \end{array}$$

where the final inequality holds because $0 \leq 1-x^{2H}-(1-x)^{2H} \leq 1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2H-1}$ for $H>\frac{1}{2}$.

Thus by (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(H\left(2H-1\right)\right)^{n}\int_{\triangle_{2n}}\Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi}\left(u_{j}-u_{l}\right)^{2H-2}du_{1}\ldots du_{2n}\\
\leq\;&\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2H-1}\right)\left(H\left(2H-1\right)\right)^{n-1}\int_{\triangle_{2n-2}}\Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi\backslash(i,k)}\left[\left(u_{j}-u_{l}\right)^{2H-2}du_{l}du_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Note first that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(H\left(2H-1\right)\right)^{n-1}\int_{\triangle_{2n-2}}\Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi\backslash(i,k)}\left[\left(u_{j}-u_{l}\right)^{2H-2}du_{l}du_{j}\right]\\
\leq\;&\left(H\left(2H-1\right)\right)^{n}\int_{[0,1]^{2n}}\Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi}\left|u_{j}-u_{l}\right|^{2H-2}du_{1}\ldots du_{2n}\\
=\;&\left(2H\left(2H-1\right)\right)^{n}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\left|y-x\right|^{2H-2}dxdy\right)^{n}\\
=\;&\left(2H\left(2H-1\right)\right)^{n}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{y}\left|y-x\right|^{2H-2}dxdy\right)^{n}\\
=\;&1
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(H\left(2H-1\right)\right)^{n}\int_{\triangle_{2n}}\Pi_{(l,j)\in\pi}\left(u_{j}-u_{l}\right)^{2H-2}du_{1}\ldots du_{2n}\\
\leq\;&\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2H-1}\right)\\
\to\;&0
\end{aligned}$$

as $H\to\frac{1}{2}$.

References

[AMN01] E. Alos, O. Mazet and D. Nualart: Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes. *Annals of Probability* 29 (2001), 766–801

[CQ00] Coutin, L. and Qian,Z.: Stochastic differential equations for fractional Brownian motions. *C. R.Acad. Sci. Paris S´er. I Math.* 331 (2000), 75–80.

[Faw03] Fawcett, T., Problems in stochastic analysis: connections between rough paths and noncommutative harmonic analysis. DPhil thesis, University of Oxford, UK.

[FR] Friz, P. and Riedel, S., Convergence rates for the full Gaussian rough paths, to appear in *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré* (B) Probability and Statistics

[FV10] Friz, P and Victoir, N. *Multidimensional Stochastic Processes as Rough Paths. Theory and Applications*, Cambridge Studies of Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 120, 2010 (Cambridge University Press: Cambridge)

[LH11] Lyons, T. and Ni, H.., *Expected signature of two dimensional Brownian Motion up to the first exit time of the domain*, 2011. arXiv:1101.5902v2.

[Lyn1] Lyons, T., *Differential equations driven by rough signals*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **14** (1998), no. 2, 215–310.

[LV04] T. Lyons, N. Victoir, Cubature on Wiener space, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 460 (2004) 169–198.

[Hao] Ni, H., *Expected signature of a stochastic process*, Phd thesis Oxford, 2012.

[NVV99] Norros, I, Valkeila, E. and Virtamo, J.: An elementary approach to a Girzanov formula and other analytic results on fractional Brownian motions. Bernoulli 5, 571-587, 1999.

[Wer12] Werness, B., Regularity of Schramm-Loewner evolutions, annular crossings, and rough path theory. *Electron. J. Probab.* 17 (2012),no. 81,1–21.